Checklist for the ins	spection of	farms (REDcert-EU)); Version: 7.2 ; Dat	e: 05.03.2024			
Inspection base		up membership unde oup manager	er the following	С	Certification body	Internal unique rep	port no. of the Certification body
Company n			pant no.	-			
			Please e	nter all in	formation legibly !!!		
Operation/opera	uting site	(hereinafter referr	red to as operati	<u>on):</u>			
Name of operation:	:						
Address:							
0 "		t de de				· 1	
Coordinates: Person responsible	··	Latitude:			Longi	itude:	
Country of cultivation Inspection inform		omass:					
Inspection scope	<u>Hation</u>	EU☑					
Inspection type:				inspection of	group member		
Method & date:	on-site	Date:		from	a.m./p.m.	to	a.m./p.m.
	on-site	Date:			a.m./p.m.	to	
	on-site				a.m./p.m.	to	
	on-site			Trom	a.m./p.m.	to	a.m./p.m.
Total inspection tim	ıe on site (h	n):			Totel time pre-/ post pro	ocessing (h):	
Name	e lead audit	tor:		Name(s) co	o-auditor (s)		Name(s) trainee (s)
Result of the ins	spection						
Inspection result		Class	sification			Measures	
100%		COMPLIANT REDcert requirements	are completely satisfied		No corrective measures re	equired	
75 - 99%		PARTIALLY COM REDcert requirements			Routine documentation, ag	gree on corrective meas	sures, check implementation
< 75 % or KO (knock-out)		NON-COMPLIAN REDcert requirements			Send inspection report to F Follow-up inspection req	· ·	n 24h after the inspection)
Follow-up inspectio	n required	? □ No	☐ Yes		Proposed date:		☐ Copy received
Signatu	ure of the a	uditor			Signature (perso	on responsible)	
Date		_		Signature	of the person responsible a	at the certification body	— ⊚ REDcert
							© INEDUCIT

Certification body & risk assessment

Name of Certification Body			
Registration number REDcert			
Name of accrediting body			Logo of Certification Body
Accredited scope(s)			
Date of accreditation			
Contact details of the certific	ation body		
Address:			
Country: Person responsible: Phone number: Email address:	We	bsite:	
Risk assessment			
The audit was conducted based or	n the following risk assessment	:	
Name of risk assesssment (file)			
Date of the assessment			
Result (e.g. low, standard, high)			
Comment			
Other voluntary schemes			□ N/A
The economic operator has or had Directive (EU) 2018/2001 art. 30 (s) recognized under
Name of the voluntary scheme			
ID-Number of certificate			
Scope of the certificate			
Current status of certificate (e.g. valid, suspended, withdrawn, terminated)			
Valid until			
Imp	ortant: All fields are mar	ndatory!	© REDcert

Checklist for the inspection of farms (REDcert-EU)

	1. Information about the operation										
Company name (name of the operation)											
2. Scope of application											
Inspection of a group member											
Inspection as part of of an individual certification											
Phase: Gree	nhouse gas (GHG) calculation and carbor	n accumulation									
001 - GHG calculation (default values)											
002 - GHG calculation (actual values)											
003 - Soil carbon accumulation (Farm with e _{sca} practices)											
	3. Information on GHG data										
Type of greenhouse gas data	default values	☐ disaggregated									
(multiple options possible)	□ NUTS 2	actual values									
	Note: All fields are mandatory!		© REDcert								

1. Information	n on <u>estim</u>	ated amount of sustainable biomass that c	ould be harveste	d annually
		Type of biomass	Category	Quantity in tons
Quantity of <u>estimated</u> annual sustainable biomass	1			
harvested	2			
	3			
Expand list if necessary!	4			
	5			
	2. Informa	tion on <u>actual</u> amount of sustainable biom	ass harvested	
A street surgestitus of homeostand		Type of biomass	Category	Quantity in tons
Actual quantity of harvested sustainable biomass in the	1			
previous calendar year	2			
	3			
Expand list if necessary!	4			
	5			

Additional guidance on the column "Category": please indicate under which of the following categories the material can be categorised AGRI (agricultural biomass e.g. rapeseed or other energy crops produced on farm land)

Annex IX Part A (biomass listed under Annex IX part A of Directive (EU) 2018/2001)*

Annex IX Part B (biomass listed under Annex IX part B of Directive (EU) 2018/2001)*

WaR (other waste or residues not listed under Annex IX of Directive (EU) 2018/2001)

*in addition to Annex IX of Directive (EU) 2018/2001, Annex IV of Implementing Regulation (EU) 2022/996 provides a non-exhaustive list of waste and residues currently covered by Annex IX to Directive (EU) 2018/2001.

Important: All fields are mandatory!

© REDcert

Control in Full compliance Migror NC = Inititled, isolated, temporary, not systematic Migror NC = prioritality reversible, repeated and systematic Consci NC NC = interestional, inverserable, jeopardising integrity NA = Scheme requirements are not applicable Legend in Administration assembled, lately mentionally applicable properties and the state assembled, lately interesting report (priority) Name of operation: The properties of the priority of the interesting report (priority)	Key:							
Nejor NC = potentially reversible, repeated and systematic Critical NC / KO = intentional, irreversible, isopardicating integrity NA = Scheme regularments are not applicable Legard the Monte the commercial Mills menchandre inequipment young. Shared disclaims, Full-full-mills disclaims, F	Conform = Full co			= Inpu	ıt field			
Critical NC / KO = intentional, irreversible, jeopardising integrity N/A = Scheme requirements are not applicable Legaced an abstrate Assessment's Mineral Control Programmy (Secretary operation). Secretary operations (Secretary operation). Secretary operations (Secretary operation). Secretary operation). Secretary operations (Secretary operation). Secretary operation). Secretary operations (Secretary operation). Secretary operation of Secretary operation (Secretary operation). Secretary operation operation (Secretary operation). Secretary operation (Secretary operation). Secretary operation (Secretary operation). Secretary operation (Secretary operation). Secretary operation (Secretary operation). Secr					_			
NA = Scheme requirements are not applicable Legend dis abdots the comments. Mell-metrodre monogramer apperts. 65-and-deciration, FA-farms, FI-morrange goods. 04-angeing goods. 68-ments belonce. Note: The proposable introduction. Comprision Payabout introduction. Comprision Payabout introduction. Comprision Payabout introduction. Comments in manuaes. APraiding to interest in manuaes. Apraiding to incomprision into the impact of a payabout introduction. Comments in manuaes. Apraiding to incomprision in manuaes. Apraiding the impact of a payabout in manuaes. Apraiding the payabout in manuaes. Apraidi	Major NC = poten	tially reversible, repeated and systematic			= Inpu	ıt field	with K	O evaluation
System principles	Critical NC / KO =	intentional, irreversible, jeopardising integrity			-			
Name of operation: Name of operation:	N/A = Scheme red	quirements are not applicable			= Inpu	ıt not p	ossible	•
Consec. No. Criterion/requirement 1.1 System principles 1.1 The biomass is from land categorised as cropland prior to 10.12.008, conversion and use does not conflict with the requirements set forth in Article 29 of Directive (E) 2018/2001. 1.2 When the session is session is reconstanted by the session of the session is sessioned in secessary. If an assessment of highly biodiverse grassland is necessary. If an assessment of highly biodiverse grassland is necessary. If an assessment of highly biodiverse grassland is necessary. If an assessment of highly biodiverse grassland is necessary. If an assessment of highly biodiverse grassland is necessary. If an assessment of highly biodiverse grassland is necessary. If an assessment of highly biodiverse grassland is necessary. If an assessment of highly biodiverse grassland is necessary. If an assessment of highly biodiverse grassland is necessary. If an assessment of highly biodiverse grassland is necessary. If an assessment of highly biodiverse grassland is necessary. If an assessment of highly biodiverse grassland is necessary. If an assessment of highly biodiverse grassland is necessary, if an assessment of highly biodiverse grassland is necessary. If an assessment of highly biodiverse grassland is necessary. If an assessment of highly biodiverse grassland is necessary. If an assessment of highly biodiverse grassland is necessary. If an assessment of highly biodiverse grassland is necessary. If an assessment of highly biodiverse grassland is necessary. If an assessment of highly biodiverse grassland is necessary. If an assessment of highly biodiverse grassland is necessary. If an assessment of highly biodiverse grassland is necessary. If an assessment of highly biodiverse grassland is necessary. If an assessment of highly biodiverse grassland is necessary. If an assessment of highly biodiverse grassland is necessary. If an assessment of highly biodiverse grassland is necessary. If an assessment of highly biodiverse grassland is necessary. If an assessment of hig	Legend (to shorten the o	comments): MMS= merchandise management system, SD=self-declaration, FA=farmer, IG=inc Pl=procedure instruction, E=employee, P=participant, R=recomm	omming endation,	goods, O0	G=outgoin ective me	ig goods, asure, Al	MB=mas: P=action p	s balance, MBS=massbalance system, WI=work instruction, tan, OS=operating site/warehouse
Consec. No. Criterion/requirement By B	Name of operation	on:						Inspection date:
Consec. No. Criterion/requirement By B								
Consec. No. Criterion/requirement By B								
Consec. No. Criterion/requirement By B								
Consec. No. Criterion/requirement System principles 1.1 The biomass is from land categorised as cropland prior to 10.1.2008. It areas were converted after 01.01.2008, conversion and use does not conflict with the requirements set forth in Article 29 of Directive (E) 2018/2001. (Note 1: about grasslands: auditor must judge whether an assessment in highly biodiverse grassland is necessary. If an assessement is necessary, if must be conducted by a qualified independent expert. The assessment and result must then be reviewed as part of the inspection.) 1.3 The operation can document that treceives EU payments in a direct support scheme. 1.4 The sustainable biomass can be clearly assigned to the cropland using the area verification and any additional documentation. 1.5 The biomass is not from land with high biodiversity value after 01.01.2008. In the event that the biomass was produced on land within protected areas with a permit for farming, there is no indication that these requirements were not compiled with. 1.7 The biomass is not from land with high above-ground or underground carbon stock (reference date: 0.1.01.2008). The evidence of verification has to reflect any seasonal changes within a year. 2. 2. 2. 2. 3. 4. 5. 5. 6. 6. 6. 6. 7. 7. 8. 8. 8. 8. 8. 8. 8. 8				E۱	valuati	on		
1.1 System principles 1.1 The biomass is from land categorised as cropland prior to 01.01.2008. If areas were converted after 01.01.2008, conversion and use does not conflict with the requirements set forth in Article 29 of Directive (E) 2018/2001. (Note 1: about grasslands: auditor must judge whether an assessment of highly biodiverse grassland is necessary. If an assessment is necessary, it must be conducted by a qualified independent expert. The assessment and result must then be reviewed as part of the inspection.) 1.3 The operation can document that it receives EU payments in a direct support scheme. 1.4 The sustainable biomass can be clearly assigned to the cropland using the area verification and any additional documentation. 1.5 The biomass was not produced on land with high biodiversity value after 01.01.2008. 1.6 In the event that the biomass was produced on land within protected areas with a permit for farming, there is no indication that these requirements were not compiled with. The biomass is not from land with high above-ground or underground carbon stock (reference date: 01.01.2008). The evidence of verification has to reflect any seasonal changes within a year. Can it be demonstrated that measures have been taken to maintain soil quality when using agricultural residues and waste materials? These measures can be verified in the form of a e.g. management plan Can the economic operator clearly identify the area where the biomass is produced with geographical coordinates by means of a polygon or an unambiguous designation of the parcel of land,	Consec. No.	Criterion/requirement	CONFORM	MINOR	MAJOR	SRITICAL / KO	T APPLICABLE (N/A)	
If areas were converted after 01.01.2008, conversion and use does not conflict with the requirements set forth in Article 29 of Directive (E) 2018/2001. (Note 1: about grasslands: auditor must judge whether an assessment of highly biodiverse grassland is necessary. If an assessment of highly biodiverse grassland is necessary. If an assessment of highly biodiverse grassland is necessary. If an assessment of the inspection.) The operation can document that it receives EU payments in a direct support scheme. 1.4 The sustainable biomass can be clearly assigned to the cropland using the area verification and any additional documentation. 1.5 The biomass was not produced on land with high biodiversity value after 01.01.2008. In the event that the biomass was produced on land within protected areas with a permit for farming, there is no indication that these requirements were not complied with. The biomass is not from land with high above-ground or underground carbon stock (reference date: 01.01.2008). The evidence of verification has to reflect any seasonal changes within a year. Can it be demonstrated that measures have been taken to maintain soil quality when using agricultural residues and waste materials? These measures can be verified in the form of a e.g. management plan Can the economic operator clearly identify the area where the biomass is produced with geographical coordinates by means of a polygon or an unambiguous designation of the parcel of land,	1	System principles					Ž	
If areas were converted after 01.01.2008, conversion and use does not conflict with the requirements set forth in Article 29 of Directive (E) 2018/2001. (Note 1: about grasslands: auditor must judge whether an assessment of highly biodiverse grassland is necessary. If an assessment of highly biodiverse grassland is necessary. If an assessment of highly biodiverse grassland is necessary. If an assessment of the inspection.) The operation can document that it receives EU payments in a direct support scheme. 1.4 The sustainable biomass can be clearly assigned to the cropland using the area verification and any additional documentation. 1.5 The biomass was not produced on land with high biodiversity value after 01.01.2008. In the event that the biomass was produced on land within protected areas with a permit for farming, there is no indication that these requirements were not complied with. The biomass is not from land with high above-ground or underground carbon stock (reference date: 01.01.2008). The evidence of verification has to reflect any seasonal changes within a year. Can it be demonstrated that measures have been taken to maintain soil quality when using agricultural residues and waste materials? These measures can be verified in the form of a e.g. management plan Can the economic operator clearly identify the area where the biomass is produced with geographical coordinates by means of a polygon or an unambiguous designation of the parcel of land,		The biomass is from land categorised as cropland prior to						
does not conflict with the requirements set forth in Article 29 of Directive (E) 2018/2001. (Note 1: about grasslands: auditor must judge whether an assessment of highly biodiverse grassland is necessary. If an assessment is necessary, it must be conducted by a qualified independent expert. The assessment and result must then be reviewed as part of the inspection.) The operation can document that it receives EU payments in a direct support scheme. 1.4 The sustainable biomass can be clearly assigned to the cropland using the area verification and any additional documentation. 1.5 The biomass was not produced on land with high biodiversity value after 01.01.2008. In the event that the biomass was produced on land within protected areas with a permit for farming, there is no indication that these requirements were not complied with. 1.7 The biomass is not from land with high above-ground or underground carbon stock (reference date: 01.01.2008). The evidence of verification has to reflect any seasonal changes within a year. 1.8 Can it be demonstrated that measures have been taken to maintain soil quality when using agricultural residues and waste materials? These measures can be verified in the form of a e.g. management plan Can the economic operator clearly identify the area where the biomass is produced with geographical coordinates by means of a polygon or an unambiguous designation of the parcel of land,	1.1	01.01.2008.						
1.4 The sustainable biomass can be clearly assigned to the cropland using the area verification and any additional documentation. 1.5 The biomass was not produced on land with high biodiversity value after 01.01.2008. 1.6 In the event that the biomass was produced on land within protected areas with a permit for farming, there is no indication that these requirements were not complied with. The biomass is not from land with high above-ground or underground carbon stock (reference date: 0.10.1.2008). The evidence of verification has to reflect any seasonal changes within a year. Can it be demonstrated that measures have been taken to maintain soil quality when using agricultural residues and waste materials? These measures can be verified in the form of a e.g. management plan Can the economic operator clearly identify the area where the biomass is produced with geographical coordinates by means of a polygon or an unambiguous designation of the parcel of land,	1.2	does not conflict with the requirements set forth in Article 29 of Directive (E) 2018/2001. (Note 1: about grasslands: auditor must judge whether an assessment of highly biodiverse grassland is necessary. If an assessment is necessary, it must be conducted by a qualified independent expert. The assessment and result must then be						
1.4 The sustainable biomass can be clearly assigned to the cropland using the area verification and any additional documentation. 1.5 The biomass was not produced on land with high biodiversity value after 01.01.2008. 1.6 In the event that the biomass was produced on land within protected areas with a permit for farming, there is no indication that these requirements were not complied with. 1.7 Underground carbon stock (reference date: 01.01.2008), The evidence of verification has to reflect any seasonal changes within a year. 1.8 Can it be demonstrated that measures have been taken to maintain soil quality when using agricultural residues and waste materials? These measures can be verified in the form of a e.g. management plan Can the economic operator clearly identify the area where the biomass is produced with geographical coordinates by means of a polygon or an unambiguous designation of the parcel of land,	1.3							
In the event that the biomass was produced on land within protected areas with a permit for farming, there is no indication that these requirements were not complied with. The biomass is not from land with high above-ground or underground carbon stock (reference date: 0.10.1.2008). The evidence of verification has to reflect any seasonal changes within a year. Can it be demonstrated that measures have been taken to maintain soil quality when using agricultural residues and waste materials? These measures can be verified in the form of a e.g. management plan Can the economic operator clearly identify the area where the biomass is produced with geographical coordinates by means of a polygon or an unambiguous designation of the parcel of land,	1.4	The sustainable biomass can be clearly assigned to the cropland						
In the event that the biomass was produced on land within protected areas with a permit for farming, there is no indication that these requirements were not complied with. The biomass is not from land with high above-ground or underground carbon stock (reference date: 01.01.2008). The evidence of verification has to reflect any seasonal changes within a year. Can it be demonstrated that measures have been taken to maintain soil quality when using agricultural residues and waste materials? These measures can be verified in the form of a e.g. management plan Can the economic operator clearly identify the area where the biomass is produced with geographical coordinates by means of a polygon or an unambiguous designation of the parcel of land,	1.5							
1.7 underground carbon stock (reference date: 01.01.2008). The evidence of verification has to reflect any seasonal changes within a year. Can it be demonstrated that measures have been taken to maintain soil quality when using agricultural residues and waste materials? These measures can be verified in the form of a e.g. management plan Can the economic operator clearly identify the area where the biomass is produced with geographical coordinates by means of a polygon or an unambiguous designation of the parcel of land,	1.6	In the event that the biomass was produced on land within protected areas with a permit for farming, there is no indication						
1.8 maintain soil quality when using agricultural residues and waste materials? These measures can be verified in the form of a e.g. management plan Can the economic operator clearly identify the area where the biomass is produced with geographical coordinates by means of a polygon or an unambiguous designation of the parcel of land,	1.7	underground carbon stock (reference date: 01.01.2008). The evidence of verification has to reflect any seasonal changes						
1.9 biomass is produced with geographical coordinates by means of a polygon or an unambiguous designation of the parcel of land,	1.8	maintain soil quality when using agricultural residues and waste materials? These measures can be verified in the form of a e.g.						
	1.9	biomass is produced with geographical coordinates by means of a polygon or an unambiguous designation of the parcel of land,						

2	Additional requirements for operations not subject to conditionality		N/A □									
2.1	Soil Structure and soil organic matter											
2.1.1	Are measures taken to avoid soil compaction as far as possible and to maintain or improve soil structure?											
2.1.2	Required erosion protection measures according to the particular erosion category classificationare are implemented.											
2.1.3	Proof can be provided that the organic substance in the soil is retained and the soil structure is protected through farming.											
2.1.4	Land not used for agricultural production is properly cared for. National or regional regulations are satisfied.											
2.1.5	Farm complies with applicable removal bans for landscape elements hedges, ponds, ditches, trees in line, in groups or isolated and field margins.											
2.2	Requirements for applying fertilisers containing nitrogen											
2.2.1	Farm complies with application restrictions and closed periods.											
2.2.2	Fertiliser is only applied to soil capable of uptake.											
2.2.3	Farm complies with the specific requirements for applying fertiliser on steep slopes.											
2.2.4	Fertiliser is prevented from entering surface water when applied.											
2.2.5	A nutrient comparison is created and documented once a year.											
2.2.6	Farm complies with the structural requirements for storage and filling facilities.											
2.2.7	Fertiliser containing nitrogen are stored properly in appropriate facilities and containers, drainage and overflow are prevented.											
2.2.8	Only the appropriate, state-of-the-art equipment is used for applying the fertiliser.											
2.2.9	Fertilisers are only applied by qualified employees.											
2.2.10	Documentation about the type of crop, time, area, type and amount of fertiliser is available and complete.											
2.3	Requirements for the use of sludge											
2.3.1	Farm complies with application bans and restrictions.											
2.3.2	In case of permission the use of sludge as a fertiliser is fully documented equal to other fertilisers											

2.4	Integrated pest management			
2.4.1	Farmer can provide evidence of IPM (integrated pest management) activities.			
2.4.2	The production process uses the best available technology and covers the relevant requirements.			
2.5	Application and handling of plant protection products			
2.5.1	Only approved pesticides are used, farm complies with areas of application (culture and harmful organism) and the defined application requirements.			
2.5.2	Chemicals listed in the Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants may and chemicals in plant protection products included in the lists of WHO classes 1a and 1b are not used. Chemicals listed in Annex III to the Rotterdam Convention (UNEP Prior Informed Consent (PEP) programme list) are avoided and alternatives considered if any are available on the market. A phase out scenario (until January 2023) is required.			
2.5.3	Producers follow the manufacturer's instructions provided for application			
2.5.4	Appropriate documentation about the type of crop, time, area of PPP application as well type, amount and origin of PPPs is available and complete.			
2.5.5	All users have been properly trained and have the appropriate knowledge.			
2.5.6	Protective clothing is available for the employees affected.			
2.5.7	Pesticides are only applied with the appropriate spreading and spraying equipment. The equipment is inspected and calibrated regularly.			
2.5.8	Leftover pesticides and pesticide packaging is handled in accordance with the valid national or regional regulations.			
2.6	Groundwater protection			
2.6.1	Producers don't release harmful substances into groundwater as defined in Annex I of Directive 2006/118/EC and in Annex II Part B of Directive 2014/80/EU amending Annex II to Directive 2006/118/EC			
2.6.2	Producers must also prevent indirect discharge of those dangerous substances. They provide adequate facilities for the storage and handling of slurry or other type of livestock manure and silage with no risk of leakage or drip loss. If national provisions apply, they must be fulfilled.			
2.6.3	The disposal, use or storage of these types of substances complies with the applicable legal regulations.			

2.7	Water protection and management						
2.7.1	Water is protected against pollution and run-off. When pesticides are used, they are prevented from directly entering the surface water.						
2.7.2	Erosion control strips are installed along natural watercourses in which production is less intensive in terms of field tillage and the use of fertilisers and pesticides.						
2.7.3	The farm has a licence to remove water for irrigation purposes from groundwater and surface water. Documentation showing the amount of water used and the time period of irrigation is available at any time.						
3	Social responsibility						
3.1	The following basic ILO core conventions are valid at a minimum in the country and are respected in the operation: ILO 29, 87, 98, 100, 105, 111, 138, 182						
4	GHG calculation						
4.1	General GHG calculation						
4.1.1	Are all required documents up-to-date and complete?						
4.1.2	Does GHG calculate correspond to the methodology specified in Directive (EU) 2018/2001?						
4.1.3	Is the GHG calculation correct and transparent?						
4.2	Requirements for the calculation of emissions savings as a result of improved agricultural management (esca)						N/A
4.2.1	Is there a binding declaration from the farm to implement the measure to accumulate carbon in the soil as a result of improved agricultural management practices for at least 5 years, is the measure clearly described and is the measure permitted?						
4.2.2	Is the measure to accumulate carbon in the soil through improved agricultural practices and the corresponding accounting methodology understood, correctly implemented and sufficiently documented by the producer?						
4.2.3	Are all calculation steps complete and plausible and is the data and information used up-to-date and reliable?						
4.2.4	Are all reported values, especially for carbon stock at the reference time and for carbon accumulation during/after the measure, reliable, verifiable and correctly credited?						
Evaluation of the results		COMPLIANT	MINOR	MAJOR	CRITICAL/KO	N/A	KO (no confirmation of conformity)
Number of evaluations system principles Total of all evaluations (not including N/A evaluations)			0	0	0	0	0
Audit results			U				
			_	1	1	T	
Score (COMPLIANT= 20 pts, MINOR= 15 pts, MAJOR= 5 pts, CRITICAL/KO= 0 pts, N/A= 0 pts, K/O= no confirmation of conformity)		0	0	0	0	0	
Total of all points				0			
Max. number of points				0			
Audit result as a % (total of all points							

			Score		Review of implementation of the corrective measures by the auditor									
Consec. No.	Criterion/ requirement	Criterion/ MINOR MAJOR CRITICAL/KO C		Comments	Agreed corrective measures	Deadline for implementation	Date	Result (fulfilled / not fulfilled)						